

DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

(Vector Calculus)

By

Dr. Gour Hari Bera

Assistant Professor

St. Paul's Cathedral Mission College

33/1, Raja Rammohan Roy Sarani

Kolkata-700009

E-mail : beragour@gmail.com

<https://sites.google.com/view/gourharibera/e-module>

Phone no. : 9433763933

Def.ⁿ :- Scalar field :

At each point P of a certain region R , we may associate (by any law) a scalar denoted by $f(P)$, then we say that f is a scalar point function for the region R . The point P in the region R together with the function value $f(P)$ will form a **scalar field** over R .

Examples : The temperature T within a body B is a scalar field, namely, the temperature field. The another examples are mass distribution in a body, electric potential of a system of charges, etc.

Def.ⁿ :- Vector field :

Let a vector $\vec{F}(P)$ be assigned to each point P of a set of points in space either lying on a curve, a surface or a three dimensional region, then $\vec{F}(P)$ is called a vector function and we can say a **vector field** is defined at those set of points.

Examples : Examples of vector fields are velocity field of a moving particle, force field defined by forces acting on a body, gravitational field defined by a system of particles under the action of gravity.

Gradient of a scalar point function :

Let a scalar field be defined by the scalar point function $\phi(x, y, z)$ of the co-ordinates x, y, z which is also defined and differentiable at each point (x, y, z) in some region of space. The vector function $\hat{i} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} + \hat{j} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} + \hat{k} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}$ is called the **gradient of the scalar point function** ϕ , where \hat{i}, \hat{j} and \hat{k} are three mutually perpendicular non-coplanar unit vectors.

This gradient is frequently written in operational notation as

$$\text{grad } \phi = \left(\hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \hat{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \hat{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \phi .$$

Using the differential operator

$$\vec{\nabla} = \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \hat{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \hat{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} , \text{ we may write } \text{grad } \phi = \vec{\nabla} \phi .$$

Geometrical interpretation of $\vec{\nabla} \phi$:

We consider a surface represented by the equation $\phi(x, y, z) = c$ (constant),
 $\Rightarrow d\phi = 0$, $\Rightarrow \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} dy + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} dz = 0$,

$$\Rightarrow \left(\hat{i} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} + \hat{j} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} + \hat{k} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right) \cdot (\hat{i} dx + \hat{j} dy + \hat{k} dz) = 0 ,$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{\nabla} \phi \cdot d\vec{r} = 0 ,$$

where $\vec{r} = x \hat{i} + y \hat{j} + z \hat{k}$ is the position vector of any point P on the surface $\phi(x, y, z) = c$. Now $d\vec{r}$ is the arbitrary vector along the tangent plane to the surface $\phi(x, y, z) = c$ at the point (x, y, z) .

Since $\vec{\nabla} \phi$ is perpendicular to arbitrary line on the tangent plane to the surface $\phi(x, y, z) = c$ at the point $P(x, y, z)$, so $\vec{\nabla} \phi$ is perpendicular to the tangent plane. Hence $\vec{\nabla} \phi$ is normal to the point at (x, y, z) .

Def.ⁿ :- Directional derivative :

Let $\phi(x, y, z)$ be a scalar point function and \hat{a} be a given unit vector. Then the directional derivative of ϕ along the unit vector \hat{a} at the point (x_0, y_0, z_0) is defined by $\vec{\nabla} \phi(x_0, y_0, z_0) \cdot \hat{a}$.

The maximum value of the directional derivative of ϕ at the point (x_0, y_0, z_0) is given by $|\vec{\nabla} \phi(x_0, y_0, z_0)|$.

The direction of ϕ at (x_0, y_0, z_0) attained in maximum value is given by $\frac{\vec{\nabla} \phi(x_0, y_0, z_0)}{|\vec{\nabla} \phi(x_0, y_0, z_0)|}$.

Def.ⁿ :- Divergence of a vector field :

Let $\vec{F}(x, y, z)$ be a differentiable vector function of the Cartesian co-ordinates (x, y, z) in space and $\vec{F} = F_1 \hat{i} + F_2 \hat{j} + F_3 \hat{k}$. Then divergence of \vec{F} , denoted by $\text{div} \vec{F}$ and defined by

$$\text{div} \vec{F} = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{F} = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial F_3}{\partial z} , \text{ which is the scalar function of } x, y, z .$$

Note-1 : $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{F} \neq \vec{F} \cdot \vec{\nabla}$.

Def.ⁿ :- Solenoidal vector :

A vector field \vec{F} is said to be **solenoidal vector**, if $\text{div} \vec{F} = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{F} = 0$ everywhere in the space considered.

Example : If we take $\vec{F} = y^2 z^2 \hat{i} + z^2 x^2 \hat{j} + x^2 y^2 \hat{k}$, then

$$\text{div} \vec{F} = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{F} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(y^2 z^2) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(z^2 x^2) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(x^2 y^2) = 0 .$$

So the vector field \vec{F} is a **solenoidal vector**.

Def.ⁿ :- Curl of a vector field :

The **curl** of a vector function $\vec{F} = F_1 \hat{i} + F_2 \hat{j} + F_3 \hat{k}$ is a vector function denoted by $\text{curl } \vec{F}$ (or $\text{rot } \vec{F}$) and is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{curl } \vec{F} &= \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{F} = \left(\hat{i} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} + \hat{j} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} + \hat{k} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right) \times (F_1 \hat{i} + F_2 \hat{j} + F_3 \hat{k}) \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} \hat{i} & \hat{j} & \hat{k} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ F_1 & F_2 & F_3 \end{vmatrix} = \left(\frac{\partial F_3}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial z} \right) \hat{i} + \left(\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial F_3}{\partial x} \right) \hat{j} + \left(\frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial y} \right) \hat{k}. \end{aligned}$$

Def.ⁿ :- Irrotational vector :

A vector field \vec{F} is said to be **irrotational vector**, if $\text{curl } \vec{F} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{F} = \vec{0}$ everywhere in the space considered.

Example : If we take $\vec{F} = x^3 \hat{i} + y^3 \hat{j} + z^3 \hat{k}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{curl } \vec{F} &= \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{F} = \begin{vmatrix} \hat{i} & \hat{j} & \hat{k} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ x^3 & y^3 & z^3 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial z^3}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial y^3}{\partial z} \right) \hat{i} + \left(\frac{\partial x^3}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial z^3}{\partial x} \right) \hat{j} + \left(\frac{\partial y^3}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial x^3}{\partial y} \right) \hat{k} = \vec{0}. \end{aligned}$$

So the vector field \vec{F} is an **irrotational vector**.

Def.ⁿ :- Laplacian operator :

The scalar differential operator $\nabla^2 = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\nabla}$, where $\vec{\nabla} = \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \hat{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \hat{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ is called the **Laplacian operator**.

If ϕ is a continuously differentiable scalar point function of x, y, z , then

$$\nabla^2 \phi = \left(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \right) \phi = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \left(\vec{\nabla} \phi \right) = \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial z^2}.$$

Def.ⁿ :- Harmonic function & Laplace's equation :

If ψ be continuously differentiable scalar point function of x, y, z and is satisfies the equation $\nabla^2 \psi = 0$, then ψ is called a **harmonic function** and the equation $\nabla^2 \psi = 0$ is called **Laplace's equation**.

Note-2 : If \vec{F} be a vector point function, then $\vec{\nabla}^2 \vec{F}$ will mean $\vec{\nabla} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{F})$ or $\text{grad} (\text{div} \vec{F})$.

Question :- Prove that for vector functions \vec{A} , \vec{B} and a scalar function ϕ ,

$$(i) \text{div}(\phi \vec{A}) = \phi \text{div} \vec{A} + \vec{A} \cdot \text{grad} \phi \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\phi \vec{A}) = \phi \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A} + \vec{A} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \phi.$$

$$(ii) \text{curl}(\phi \vec{A}) = \phi \text{curl} \vec{A} + \text{grad} \phi \times \vec{A} \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \vec{\nabla} \times (\phi \vec{A}) = \phi \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} + \vec{\nabla} \phi \times \vec{A}.$$

$$(iii) \text{grad}(\vec{A} \cdot \vec{B}) = \vec{A} \times \text{curl} \vec{B} + \vec{B} \times \text{curl} \vec{A} + (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{B} + (\vec{B} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{A}$$

$$\text{i.e.,} \quad \vec{\nabla}(\vec{A} \cdot \vec{B}) = \vec{A} \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B}) + \vec{B} \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) + (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{B} + (\vec{B} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{A}.$$

$$(iv) \text{div}(\vec{A} \times \vec{B}) = \vec{B} \cdot \text{curl} \vec{A} - \vec{A} \cdot \text{curl} \vec{B} \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\vec{A} \times \vec{B}) = \vec{B} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) - \vec{A} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B}).$$

$$(v) \text{curl}(\vec{A} \times \vec{B}) = \vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{A} \times \vec{B}) = \vec{A}(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B}) - \vec{B}(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A}) + (\vec{B} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{A} - (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{B}.$$

$$(vi) \text{curl grad} \phi = \vec{0} \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{\nabla} \phi) = \vec{0}.$$

$$(vii) \text{div curl} \vec{A} = 0 \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) = 0.$$

$$(viii) \text{curl curl} \vec{A} = \text{grad div} \vec{A} - \vec{\nabla}^2 \vec{A} \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) = \vec{\nabla}(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A}) - \vec{\nabla}^2 \vec{A}.$$

Note-3 : $\vec{\nabla} = \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \hat{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \hat{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$.

Answer :- (i) $\vec{\nabla} \cdot (\phi \vec{A}) = \phi \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A} + \vec{A} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \phi$.

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\phi \vec{A}) &= \left(\sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \cdot (\phi \vec{A}) = \sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \cdot (\phi \vec{A}) = \sum \hat{i} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\phi \vec{A}) \\ &= \sum \hat{i} \cdot \left\{ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \vec{A} + \phi \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial x} \right\} = \sum \hat{i} \cdot \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \vec{A} + \sum \hat{i} \cdot \phi \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial x} \\ &= \sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \cdot \vec{A} + \phi \sum \hat{i} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial x} = \left(\sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right) \cdot \vec{A} + \phi \left(\sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \cdot \vec{A} \\ &= \left(\sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \phi \cdot \vec{A} + \phi \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A} = \vec{\nabla} \phi \cdot \vec{A} + \phi \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\vec{\nabla} \cdot (\phi \vec{A}) = \vec{\nabla} \phi \cdot \vec{A} + \phi \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A}$. **(Proved)**

Answer :- (ii) $\vec{\nabla} \times (\phi \vec{A}) = \phi \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} + \vec{\nabla} \phi \times \vec{A}$.

$$\vec{\nabla} \times (\phi \vec{A}) = \left(\sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \times (\phi \vec{A}) = \sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \times (\phi \vec{A}) = \sum \hat{i} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\phi \vec{A})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum \hat{i} \times \left\{ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \vec{A} + \phi \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial x} \right\} = \sum \hat{i} \times \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \vec{A} + \sum \hat{i} \times \phi \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial x} \\
&= \sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \times \vec{A} + \phi \sum \hat{i} \times \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial x} = \left(\sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right) \times \vec{A} + \phi \left(\sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \times \vec{A} \\
&= \left(\sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \phi \times \vec{A} + \phi \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} = \vec{\nabla} \phi \times \vec{A} + \phi \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\vec{\nabla} \times (\phi \vec{A}) = \phi \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} + \vec{\nabla} \phi \times \vec{A}$. (Proved)

Answer :- (iii)

$$\vec{\nabla}(\vec{A} \cdot \vec{B}) = \vec{A} \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B}) + \vec{B} \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) + (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{B} + (\vec{B} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{A}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{\nabla}(\vec{A} \cdot \vec{B}) &= \left(\sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{B}) = \sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{B}) \\
&= \sum \hat{i} \left\{ \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial x} \cdot \vec{B} + \vec{A} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial x} \right\} = \sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial x} \cdot \vec{B} + \sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial x} \cdot \vec{A}. \quad (1)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Now } \vec{A} \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B}) &= \vec{A} \times \left\{ \left(\sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \times \vec{B} \right\} = \vec{A} \times \left(\sum \hat{i} \times \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial x} \right) \\
&= \sum \left\{ \vec{A} \times \left(\hat{i} \times \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial x} \right) \right\} = \sum \left\{ \left(\vec{A} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial x} \right) \hat{i} - (\vec{A} \cdot \hat{i}) \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial x} \right\} \\
&= \sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial x} \cdot \vec{A} - \left(\vec{A} \cdot \sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \vec{B} = \sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial x} \cdot \vec{A} - (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{B}, \\
\Rightarrow \sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial x} \cdot \vec{A} &= \vec{A} \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B}) + (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{B}. \quad (2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Similarly } \sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial x} \cdot \vec{B} = \vec{B} \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) + (\vec{B} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{A}. \quad (3)$$

From (1), (2) and (3), we have

$$\vec{\nabla}(\vec{A} \cdot \vec{B}) = \vec{A} \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B}) + \vec{B} \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) + (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{B} + (\vec{B} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{A}. \text{ (Proved)}$$

$$\# \text{ Answer :- (iv) } \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\vec{A} \times \vec{B}) = \vec{B} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) - \vec{A} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B}).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{\nabla} \cdot (\vec{A} \times \vec{B}) &= \left(\sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \cdot (\vec{A} \times \vec{B}) = \sum \hat{i} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\vec{A} \times \vec{B}) \\
&= \sum \hat{i} \cdot \left\{ \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial x} \times \vec{B} + \vec{A} \times \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial x} \right\} = \sum \hat{i} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial x} \times \vec{B} \right) + \sum \hat{i} \cdot \left(\vec{A} \times \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial x} \right) \\
&= \left(\sum \hat{i} \times \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial x} \right) \cdot \vec{B} - \sum \hat{i} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial x} \times \vec{A} \right) = \left(\sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \times \vec{A} \right) \cdot \vec{B} - \left(\sum \hat{i} \times \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial x} \right) \cdot \vec{A} \\
&= (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) \cdot \vec{B} - \left(\sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \times \vec{B} \right) \cdot \vec{A} = \vec{B} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) - \vec{A} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B}) .
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\vec{\nabla} \cdot (\vec{A} \times \vec{B}) = \vec{B} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) - \vec{A} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B})$. (Proved)

Answer :- (v)

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{A} \times \vec{B}) &= \vec{A} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B}) - \vec{B} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A}) + (\vec{B} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{A} - (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{B} . \\
\vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{A} \times \vec{B}) &= \left(\sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \times (\vec{A} \times \vec{B}) = \sum \hat{i} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\vec{A} \times \vec{B}) \\
&= \sum \hat{i} \times \left\{ \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial x} \times \vec{B} + \vec{A} \times \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial x} \right\} = \sum \hat{i} \times \left(\frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial x} \times \vec{B} \right) + \sum \hat{i} \times \left(\vec{A} \times \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial x} \right) \\
&= \sum \hat{i} \times \left(\vec{A} \times \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial x} \right) - \sum \hat{i} \times \left(\vec{B} \times \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial x} \right) \\
&= \sum \left\{ \left(\hat{i} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial x} \right) \vec{A} - (\hat{i} \cdot \vec{A}) \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial x} \right\} - \sum \left\{ \left(\hat{i} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial x} \right) \vec{B} - (\hat{i} \cdot \vec{B}) \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial x} \right\} \\
&= \left(\sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \cdot \vec{B} \right) \vec{A} - \left(\vec{A} \cdot \sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \vec{B} - \left(\sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \cdot \vec{A} \right) \vec{B} + \left(\vec{B} \cdot \sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \vec{A} \\
&= \vec{A} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B}) - (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{B} - \vec{B} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A}) + (\vec{B} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{A} .
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{A} \times \vec{B}) = \vec{A} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B}) + (\vec{B} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{A} - \vec{B} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A}) - (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{B}$.

Answer :- (vi) $\vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{\nabla} \phi) = \vec{0}$.

$$\vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{\nabla} \phi) = \left(\sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \times (\vec{\nabla} \phi) = \sum \hat{i} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ \hat{i} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} + \hat{j} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} + \hat{k} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right\}$$

$$= \sum \hat{i} \times \left\{ \hat{i} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} + \hat{j} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x \partial y} + \hat{k} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x \partial z} \right\} = \sum \left\{ \hat{k} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x \partial y} - \hat{j} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x \partial z} \right\} = \vec{0},$$

using $\hat{i} \times \hat{i} = \vec{0}$, $\hat{i} \times \hat{j} = \hat{k}$, etc.; assuming continuous differentiability of ϕ will imply $\phi_{xy} = \phi_{yx}$, $\phi_{xz} = \phi_{zx}$, $\phi_{yz} = \phi_{zy}$; terms like $\hat{k} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x \partial y}$ and $-\hat{j} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x \partial z}$ will cancel.

Answer :- (vii) $\vec{\nabla} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) &= \left(\sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) = \sum \hat{i} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) \\ &= \sum \hat{i} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ \hat{i} \times \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial x} + \hat{j} \times \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial y} + \hat{k} \times \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial z} \right\} \\ &= \sum \left\{ \hat{i} \times \hat{i} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial x^2} + \hat{i} \times \hat{j} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial x \partial y} + \hat{i} \times \hat{k} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial x \partial z} \right\} \\ &= \sum \left\{ \hat{k} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial x \partial y} - \hat{j} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial x \partial z} \right\} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

using $\hat{i} \times \hat{i} = \vec{0}$, $\hat{i} \times \hat{j} = \hat{k}$, etc.; assuming continuous differentiability of \vec{A} will imply $\frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial x \partial y} = \frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial y \partial x}$, $\frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial x \partial z} = \frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial z \partial x}$, $\frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial y \partial z} = \frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial z \partial y}$; terms like $\hat{k} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial x \partial y}$ and $-\hat{j} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial x \partial z}$ will cancel.

Answer :- (viii) $\vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) = \vec{\nabla} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A}) - \vec{\nabla}^2 \vec{A}$.

Let $\vec{A} = A_1 \hat{i} + A_2 \hat{j} + A_3 \hat{k}$, where A_1, A_2, A_3 are real-valued differentiable functions of real variables x, y, z .

$$\text{Then } \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} = \begin{vmatrix} \hat{i} & \hat{j} & \hat{k} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ A_1 & A_2 & A_3 \end{vmatrix} = L_1 \hat{i} + L_2 \hat{j} + L_3 \hat{k},$$

$$\text{where } L_1 = \frac{\partial A_3}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial z}, L_2 = \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial A_3}{\partial x}, L_3 = \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial y}.$$

$$\text{Now } \vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) = \begin{vmatrix} \hat{i} & \hat{j} & \hat{k} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ L_1 & L_2 & L_3 \end{vmatrix} = G_1 \hat{i} + G_2 \hat{j} + G_3 \hat{k}, \text{ (say).}$$

$$\text{Here } G_1 = \frac{\partial L_3}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left\{ \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial y} \right\} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left\{ \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial A_3}{\partial x} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\partial^2 A_2}{\partial y \partial x} - \frac{\partial^2 A_1}{\partial y^2} - \frac{\partial^2 A_1}{\partial z^2} + \frac{\partial^2 A_3}{\partial z \partial x} \\
&= \frac{\partial^2 A_2}{\partial y \partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 A_3}{\partial z \partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 A_1}{\partial x^2} - \left(\frac{\partial^2 A_1}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 A_1}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 A_1}{\partial z^2} \right) \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial A_1}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial A_3}{\partial z} \right) - \nabla^2 A_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\nabla \cdot \vec{A}) - \nabla^2 A_1 .
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $G_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\nabla \cdot \vec{A}) - \nabla^2 A_2$ and $G_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\nabla \cdot \vec{A}) - \nabla^2 A_3$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\therefore \nabla \times (\nabla \times \vec{A}) &= \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\nabla \cdot \vec{A}) - \nabla^2 A_1 \right\} \hat{i} + \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\nabla \cdot \vec{A}) - \nabla^2 A_2 \right\} \hat{j} \\
&\quad + \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\nabla \cdot \vec{A}) - \nabla^2 A_3 \right\} \hat{k} \\
&= \left\{ \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \hat{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \hat{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right\} (\nabla \cdot \vec{A}) - \nabla^2 (A_1 \hat{i} + A_2 \hat{j} + A_3 \hat{k}) \\
&= \nabla (\nabla \cdot \vec{A}) - \nabla^2 \vec{A} . \text{ (Proved)}
\end{aligned}$$

Question :- Prove that for any differentiable scalar function ϕ , $\phi \text{ grad} \phi$ is irrotational.

Answer :- Let $\vec{a} = \phi \text{ grad} \phi = \phi \nabla \phi$.
The vector \vec{a} is irrotational, if $\nabla \times \vec{a} = \vec{0}$.

Now $\nabla \times \vec{a} = \nabla \times (\phi \nabla \phi) = \phi \nabla \times (\nabla \phi) + \nabla \phi \times \nabla \phi = \phi \nabla \times (\nabla \phi)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Again } \nabla \times (\nabla \phi) &= \left(\sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \times (\nabla \phi) = \sum \hat{i} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ \hat{i} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} + \hat{j} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} + \hat{k} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right\} \\
&= \sum \hat{i} \times \left\{ \hat{i} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} + \hat{j} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x \partial y} + \hat{k} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x \partial z} \right\} = \sum \left\{ \hat{k} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x \partial y} - \hat{j} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x \partial z} \right\} = \vec{0} , \quad (2)
\end{aligned}$$

using $\hat{i} \times \hat{i} = \vec{0}$, $\hat{i} \times \hat{j} = \hat{k}$, etc.; assuming continuous differentiability of ϕ will imply $\phi_{xy} = \phi_{yx}$, $\phi_{xz} = \phi_{zx}$, $\phi_{yz} = \phi_{zy}$; terms like $\hat{k} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x \partial y}$ and $-\hat{k} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y \partial x}$ will cancel.

From (1) and (2), we get $\nabla \times \vec{a} = \vec{0}$, i.e., $\phi \text{ grad} \phi$ is irrotational.

Question :- If $\vec{A} = 2xz^2 \hat{i} - yz \hat{j} + 3xz^3 \hat{k}$, $\phi = x^2yz$, then find (i) $\text{curl}(\phi \vec{A})$,
(ii) $\text{curl curl } \vec{A}$.

Answer :- (i) We know that

$$\text{curl}(\phi \vec{A}) = \vec{\nabla} \times (\phi \vec{A}) = \phi (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) + \vec{\nabla} \phi \times \vec{A} . \quad (1)$$

Now
$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} = \begin{vmatrix} \hat{i} & \hat{j} & \hat{k} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ 2xz^2 & -yz & 3xz^3 \end{vmatrix} = y \hat{i} + (4xz - 3z^3) \hat{j} + 0 \hat{k}$$

and
$$\vec{\nabla} \phi = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \hat{i} + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} \hat{j} + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \hat{k} = 2xyz \hat{i} + x^2 z \hat{j} + x^2 y \hat{k} .$$

$$\therefore \phi (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) = x^2 y^2 z \hat{i} + (4x^3 y z^2 - 3x^2 y z^4) \hat{j} + 0 \hat{k} \quad (2)$$

and
$$\vec{\nabla} \phi \times \vec{A} = \begin{vmatrix} \hat{i} & \hat{j} & \hat{k} \\ 2xyz & x^2 z & x^2 y \\ 2xz^2 & -yz & 3xz^3 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= (3x^3 z^4 + x^2 y^2 z) \hat{i} + (2x^3 y z^2 - 6x^2 y z^4) \hat{j} + (-2xy^2 z^2 - 2x^3 z^3) \hat{k} . \quad (3)$$

From (1), (2) and (3), we have

$$\text{curl}(\phi \vec{A}) = (2x^2 y^2 z + 3x^3 z^4) \hat{i} + (6x^3 y z^2 - 9x^2 y z^4) \hat{j} - (2xy^2 z^2 + 2x^3 z^3) \hat{k} .$$

(ii) We know that

$$\text{curl curl} (\vec{A}) = \vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) = \vec{\nabla} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A}) - \vec{\nabla}^2 \vec{A} . \quad (4)$$

Now
$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(2xz^2) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(-yz) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(3xz^3) = 2z^2 - z + 9xz^2 ,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\nabla} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A}) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(2z^2 - z + 9xz^2) \hat{i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(2z^2 - z + 9xz^2) \hat{j} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(2z^2 - z + 9xz^2) \hat{k} \\ &= 9z^2 \hat{i} + 0 \hat{j} + (4z - 1 + 18xz) \hat{k} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

and
$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\nabla}^2 \vec{A} &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} (2xz^2 \hat{i} - yz \hat{j} + 3xz^3 \hat{k}) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} (2xz^2 \hat{i} - yz \hat{j} + 3xz^3 \hat{k}) \\ &+ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} (2xz^2 \hat{i} - yz \hat{j} + 3xz^3 \hat{k}) = \vec{0} + \vec{0} + 4x \hat{i} + 18xz \hat{k} . \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

From (4), (5) and (6), we have

$$\text{curl curl} (\vec{A}) = (9z^2 - 4x) \hat{i} + 0 \hat{j} + (4z - 1) \hat{k} .$$

Question :- Prove that $\text{div}(\text{grad} f) = \vec{\nabla}^2 f$.

Answer :- $\text{div}(\text{grad} f) = \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} f)$
 $= \left(\hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \hat{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \hat{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \cdot \left(\hat{i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \hat{j} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} + \hat{k} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \right)$
 $= \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z^2} = \vec{\nabla}^2 f. \text{ (Proved)}$

Question :- Prove that for the vector $\vec{r} = x \hat{i} + y \hat{j} + z \hat{k}$, $\vec{\nabla}^2 \left(\frac{1}{|\vec{r}|} \right) = 0$.

Answer :- $\vec{\nabla} \left(\frac{1}{|\vec{r}|} \right) = \sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{1}{r} \right) = - \sum \hat{i} \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial r}{\partial x} = - \frac{\sum x \hat{i}}{r^3}$.

$$\left[\because r^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2, \Rightarrow \frac{\partial r}{\partial x} = \frac{x}{r} \right]$$

Now $\vec{\nabla}^2 \left(\frac{1}{|\vec{r}|} \right) = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \left(\frac{1}{|\vec{r}|} \right) = \sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \cdot \left(- \frac{\sum x \hat{i}}{r^3} \right) = - \sum \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ \frac{x}{r^3} \right\}$
 $= - \sum \left\{ \frac{r^3 - 3r^2 \frac{\partial r}{\partial x} x}{r^6} \right\} = - \frac{1}{r^6} \sum \{ r^3 - 3rx^2 \}$
 $= - \frac{1}{r^6} \{ 3r^3 - 3r \sum x^2 \} = - \frac{1}{r^6} \{ 3r^3 - 3r^3 \} = 0. \text{ (Proved)}$

Question :- Find the gradient and Laplacian of the function $\phi = \sin(kx) \sin(ly) e^{\sqrt{k^2+l^2} z}$.

Answer :- The gradient of a scalar point function $\phi(x, y, z)$ is

$$\vec{\nabla} \phi = \text{grad } \phi = \sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}, \quad (1)$$

and the Laplacian of the function ϕ is

$$\vec{\nabla}^2 \phi = \left(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \right) \phi = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \left(\vec{\nabla} \phi \right) = \sum \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2}. \quad (2)$$

Now $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} = k \cos(kx) \sin(ly) e^{\sqrt{k^2+l^2} z}$, $\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} = -k^2 \sin(kx) \sin(ly) e^{\sqrt{k^2+l^2} z}$,
 $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} = l \sin(kx) \cos(ly) e^{\sqrt{k^2+l^2} z}$, $\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y^2} = -l^2 \sin(kx) \sin(ly) e^{\sqrt{k^2+l^2} z}$,
 $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} = \sqrt{k^2+l^2} \sin(kx) \sin(ly) e^{\sqrt{k^2+l^2} z}$, $\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial z^2} = (k^2+l^2) \sin(kx) \sin(ly) e^{\sqrt{k^2+l^2} z}$.
 $\therefore \vec{\nabla} \phi = e^{\sqrt{k^2+l^2} z} [k \cos(kx) \sin(ly) \hat{i} + l \sin(kx) \cos(ly) \hat{j} + \sqrt{k^2+l^2} \sin(kx) \sin(ly) \hat{k}]$

and $\vec{\nabla}^2 \phi = [-k^2 - l^2 + (k^2 + l^2)] \sin(kx) \sin(ly) e^{\sqrt{k^2+l^2} z} = 0$.

$\vec{\nabla}^2 \phi = 0$ is called Laplace equation.

Question :- Find $\text{grad}(\log |\vec{r}'|)$, where $\vec{r}' = x \hat{i} + y \hat{j} + z \hat{k}$.

Answer :- Since $\vec{r}' = x \hat{i} + y \hat{j} + z \hat{k}$.
Therefore $|\vec{r}'| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$ and $\log |\vec{r}'| = \frac{1}{2} \log(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \vec{\nabla} (\log |\vec{r}'|) &= \sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\log |\vec{r}'|) = \frac{1}{2} \sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \log(x^2 + y^2 + z^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum \hat{i} \frac{2x}{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} = \frac{\sum x \hat{i}}{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} = \frac{\vec{r}'}{r^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Question :- Find a simplified form of $\vec{\nabla} \times \{\vec{r}' f(r)\}$, where $f(r)$ is differentiable.

Answer :- Here

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\nabla} \times \{\vec{r}' f(r)\} &= f(r) (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{r}') + \vec{\nabla} (f(r)) \times \vec{r}' \\ &= f(r) (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{r}') + \frac{f'(r)}{r} (\vec{r}' \times \vec{r}') = \vec{0}. \\ & \left[\because \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{r}' = \vec{0}, \vec{r}' \times \vec{r}' = \vec{0} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Thus the vector field $f(r) \vec{r}'$ is irrotational, provided $f(r)$ is differentiable.

Question :- Prove $\nabla^2 f(r) = \frac{d^2 f}{dr^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{df}{dr}$, where $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$. Find $f(r)$ such that $\nabla^2 f(r) = 0$.

Answer :- Here

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\nabla}^2 f(r) &= \vec{\nabla} \cdot \{\vec{\nabla} f(r)\} = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \left\{ \frac{f'(r)}{r} \vec{r}' \right\} \\ &= \frac{f'(r)}{r} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{r}') + \vec{r}' \cdot \left\{ \vec{\nabla} \left(\frac{f'(r)}{r} \right) \right\} \\ &= \frac{3f'(r)}{r} + \vec{r}' \cdot \left\{ -f'(r) \frac{\vec{r}'}{r^3} + \frac{1}{r^2} f''(r) \vec{r}' \right\} \\ &= \frac{3f'(r)}{r} + \frac{f''(r)}{r^2} (\vec{r}' \cdot \vec{r}') - \frac{f'(r)}{r^3} (\vec{r}' \cdot \vec{r}') \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{3f'(r)}{r} + f''(r) - \frac{f'(r)}{r} = \frac{d^2f}{dr^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{df}{dr} . \text{ (Proved)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \vec{\nabla}^2 f(r) = 0, & \Rightarrow \frac{d^2f}{dr^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{df}{dr} = 0, \Rightarrow \frac{dz}{dr} + \frac{2}{r} z = 0, \left[\text{put } \frac{df}{dr} = z \right] \\ & \Rightarrow \frac{dz}{z} + 2 \frac{dr}{r} = 0 . \end{aligned}$$

On integrating, we get

$$\log z + 2 \log r = \log c_1, \text{ where } c_1 \text{ be an integrating constant}$$

$$\text{or, } zr^2 = c_1 \text{ or, } \frac{df}{dr} = \frac{c_1}{r^2} \text{ or, } df = c_1 \frac{dr}{r^2} .$$

On integrating, we get

$$f = c_2 - \frac{c_1}{r}, \text{ where } c_2 \text{ be an integrating constant ,}$$

which is the required function $f(r)$.

Question :- Show that $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \left\{ \frac{f(r)}{r} \vec{r} \right\} = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \{r^2 f(r)\}$. Hence show that $r^n \vec{r}$ is solenoidal if $n + 3 = 0$.

Answer :-

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \left\{ \frac{f(r)}{r} \vec{r} \right\} = \frac{f(r)}{r} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{r}) + \vec{r} \cdot \left\{ \vec{\nabla} \left(\frac{f(r)}{r} \right) \right\} . \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Now } \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{r} = \frac{\partial x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial z}{\partial z} = 3 \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and } \vec{\nabla} \left(\frac{f(r)}{r} \right) &= \sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{f(r)}{r} \right) = \sum \hat{i} \left\{ \frac{1}{r} f'(r) \frac{\partial r}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{r^2} f(r) \frac{\partial r}{\partial x} \right\} \\ &= \frac{f'(r)}{r^2} \vec{r} - \frac{f(r)}{r^3} \vec{r} . \left[\because r^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2, \Rightarrow \frac{\partial r}{\partial x} = \frac{x}{r} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

From (1), (2) and (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\nabla} \cdot \left\{ \frac{f(r)}{r} \vec{r} \right\} &= \frac{3f(r)}{r} + \vec{r} \cdot \left\{ \frac{f'(r)}{r^2} \vec{r} - \frac{f(r)}{r^3} \vec{r} \right\} \\ &= \frac{3f(r)}{r} + f'(r) - \frac{f(r)}{r} = \frac{2}{r} f(r) + \frac{df}{dr} = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \{r^2 f(r)\} . \text{ (Proved)} \end{aligned}$$

If we take $f(r) = r^{n+1}$, then $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \{r^n \vec{r}\} = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \{r^{n+3}\}$.

If $r^n \vec{r}$ is solenoidal vector, then $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \{r^n \vec{r}\} = 0$.

It is possible when $\frac{d}{dr} \{r^{n+3}\} = 0$, i.e., $n + 3 = 0$.

Question :- Find divergence and curl of the vector $\vec{v} = \frac{\hat{r}}{r}$, where \hat{r} is the unit vector along \vec{r} and r is the magnitude of the vector \vec{r} , where $\vec{r} = x \hat{i} + y \hat{j} + z \hat{k}$.

Answer :- Let $\vec{v} = \frac{\hat{r}}{r}$, where $\hat{r} = \frac{\vec{r}}{|\vec{r}|} = \frac{\vec{r}}{r}$, $\vec{r} = x \hat{i} + y \hat{j} + z \hat{k}$ and $r^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$, $\frac{\partial r}{\partial x} = \frac{x}{r}$, etc. $\therefore \vec{v} = \frac{\vec{r}}{r^2}$.

$$\text{Now } \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v} = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \left(\frac{\vec{r}}{r^2} \right) = \frac{1}{r^2} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{r}) + \vec{r} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \left(\frac{1}{r^2} \right)$$

$$= \frac{3}{r^2} + \vec{r} \cdot \left(-\frac{2\vec{r}}{r^4} \right) = \frac{3}{r^2} - \frac{2r^2}{r^4} = \frac{1}{r^2},$$

$$\left[\because \vec{\nabla} \left(\frac{1}{r^2} \right) = \sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{1}{r^2} \right) = -\sum \hat{i} \frac{2}{r^3} \frac{\partial r}{\partial x} = -2 \frac{\sum x \hat{i}}{r^4} = -\frac{2\vec{r}}{r^4} \right]$$

$$\text{and } \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{v} = \vec{\nabla} \times \left(\frac{\vec{r}}{r^2} \right) = \frac{1}{r^2} (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{r}) + \vec{\nabla} \left(\frac{1}{r^2} \right) \times \vec{r}$$

$$= \frac{1}{r^2} (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{r}) - \frac{2}{r^4} (\vec{r} \times \vec{r}) = \vec{0}.$$

$$\left[\because \vec{r} \times \vec{r} = \vec{0} \text{ \& } \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{r} = \vec{0} \right]$$

Question :- Prove that for the vector $\vec{r} = x \hat{i} + y \hat{j} + z \hat{k}$, $\frac{\vec{r}}{|\vec{r}|^3}$ is both solenoidal and irrotational.

Answer :- Let $\vec{v} = \frac{\vec{r}}{|\vec{r}|^3}$, where $\vec{r} = x \hat{i} + y \hat{j} + z \hat{k}$ and $r^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$, $\frac{\partial r}{\partial x} = \frac{x}{r}$, etc.

$$\text{Now } \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v} = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \left(\frac{\vec{r}}{r^3} \right) = \frac{1}{r^3} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{r}) + \vec{r} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \left(\frac{1}{r^3} \right)$$

$$= \frac{3}{r^3} + \vec{r} \cdot \left(-\frac{3\vec{r}}{r^5} \right) = \frac{3}{r^3} - \frac{3r^2}{r^5} = 0.$$

$$\left[\because \vec{\nabla} \left(\frac{1}{r^3} \right) = \sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{1}{r^3} \right) = -\sum \hat{i} \frac{3}{r^4} \frac{\partial r}{\partial x} = -3 \frac{\sum x \hat{i}}{r^5} = -\frac{3\vec{r}}{r^5} \right]$$

Hence $\vec{v} = \frac{\vec{r}}{|\vec{r}|^3}$ is solenoidal vector .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Again } \quad \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{v} &= \vec{\nabla} \times \left(\frac{\vec{r}}{r^3} \right) = \frac{1}{r^3} (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{r}) + \vec{\nabla} \left(\frac{1}{r^3} \right) \times \vec{r} \\ &= \frac{1}{r^3} (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{r}) - \frac{3}{r^5} (\vec{r} \times \vec{r}) = \vec{0} . \\ &\quad \left[\because \vec{r} \times \vec{r} = \vec{0} \text{ \& } \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{r} = \vec{0} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\vec{v} = \frac{\vec{r}}{|\vec{r}|^3}$ is an irrotational vector.

Question :- Show that $r^n \vec{r}$ is an irrotational vector for any value of n but is solenoidal, if $n + 3 = 0$.

Answer :- Let $\vec{A} = r^n \vec{r}$, where $\vec{r} = x \hat{i} + y \hat{j} + z \hat{k}$ and $r^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$, $\Rightarrow \frac{\partial r}{\partial x} = \frac{x}{r}$, etc.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \quad \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} &= \vec{\nabla} \times (r^n \vec{r}) = r^n (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{r}) + \vec{\nabla} (r^n) \times \vec{r} \\ &= r^n (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{r}) + nr^{n-2} (\vec{r} \times \vec{r}) = \vec{0} . \\ &\quad \left[\because \vec{r} \times \vec{r} = \vec{0} \text{ \& } \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{r} = \vec{0} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\vec{A} = r^n \vec{r}$ is an irrotational vector for any value of n .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Again } \quad \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A} &= \vec{\nabla} \cdot (r^n \vec{r}) = r^n (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{r}) + \vec{r} \cdot \vec{\nabla} (r^n) \\ &= 3r^n + \vec{r} \cdot (nr^{n-2} \vec{r}) = 3r^n + nr^n = (3 + n) r^n . \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A} = 0$, then $n + 3 = 0$. Consequently $r^n \vec{r}$ is solenoidal vector, if $n + 3 = 0$.

Question :- If $\vec{r} = x \hat{i} + y \hat{j} + z \hat{k}$ and $r = |\vec{r}|$, then prove that $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \left[r \vec{\nabla} \left(\frac{1}{r^3} \right) \right] = 3r^{-4}$.

Answer :- Here $\vec{r} = x \hat{i} + y \hat{j} + z \hat{k}$ and $r^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$, $\frac{\partial r}{\partial x} = \frac{x}{r}$, etc.

$$\therefore \vec{\nabla} \left(\frac{1}{r^3} \right) = \sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{1}{r^3} \right) = - \sum \hat{i} \frac{3}{r^4} \frac{\partial r}{\partial x} = -3 \frac{\sum x \hat{i}}{r^5} = -\frac{3\vec{r}}{r^5} .$$

Let $\vec{v} = r \vec{\nabla} \left(\frac{1}{r^3} \right) = -3 \frac{\vec{r}}{r^4}$.

Now
$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{v} &= -3 \vec{\nabla} \cdot \left(\frac{\vec{r}}{r^4} \right) = -3 \left\{ \frac{1}{r^4} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{r}) + \vec{r} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \left(\frac{1}{r^4} \right) \right\} \\ &= -3 \left\{ \frac{3}{r^4} + \vec{r} \cdot \left(-\frac{4\vec{r}}{r^6} \right) \right\} = -3 \left\{ \frac{3}{r^4} - \frac{4}{r^4} \right\} = 3r^{-4} . \text{ (Proved)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\left[\because \vec{\nabla} \left(\frac{1}{r^4} \right) = \sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{1}{r^4} \right) = -\sum \hat{i} \frac{4}{r^5} \frac{\partial r}{\partial x} = -4 \frac{\sum x \hat{i}}{r^6} = -\frac{4\vec{r}}{r^6} \right]$$

Question :- Show that $\vec{\nabla} \left(\vec{A} \cdot \frac{\vec{r}}{r} \right) = \frac{3(\vec{A} \cdot \vec{r})}{r^5} \vec{r} - \frac{\vec{A}}{r^3}$, where $r^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$, $\vec{r} = x \hat{i} + y \hat{j} + z \hat{k}$, \vec{A} is a constant vector and $\hat{i}, \hat{j}, \hat{k}$ are orthogonal unit vectors.

Answer :- $\vec{\nabla} \left(\frac{1}{r} \right) = \sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{1}{r} \right) = -\sum \hat{i} \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial r}{\partial x} = -\frac{\sum x \hat{i}}{r^3} = -\frac{\vec{r}}{r^3}$.

$$\therefore \vec{A} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \left(\frac{1}{r} \right) = \vec{A} \cdot \left(-\frac{\vec{r}}{r^3} \right) = -\frac{\vec{A} \cdot \vec{r}}{r^3} .$$

Hence
$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\nabla} \left(\vec{A} \cdot \frac{\vec{r}}{r} \right) &= \vec{\nabla} \left(-\frac{\vec{A} \cdot \vec{r}}{r^3} \right) = -\sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\vec{A} \cdot \vec{r}}{r^3} \right) \\ &= -\left\{ -\sum \hat{i} \frac{3(\vec{A} \cdot \vec{r})}{r^4} \frac{\partial r}{\partial x} + \sum \hat{i} \frac{1}{r^3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{r}) \right\} \\ &= \frac{3}{r^5} (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{r}) \sum x \hat{i} - \frac{1}{r^3} \sum \hat{i} \left(\frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial x} \cdot \vec{r} + \frac{\partial \vec{r}}{\partial x} \cdot \vec{A} \right) \\ &= \frac{3(\vec{A} \cdot \vec{r})}{r^5} \vec{r} - \frac{1}{r^3} \sum \hat{i} (\vec{0} \cdot \vec{r} + \hat{i} \cdot \vec{A}) \end{aligned}$$

[since \vec{A} is constant vector, so $\frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial x} = \vec{0}$, $\frac{\partial \vec{r}}{\partial x} = \hat{i}$ and $\vec{A} \cdot \hat{i} = A_1$.]

$$= \frac{3(\vec{A} \cdot \vec{r})}{r^5} \vec{r} - \frac{1}{r^3} \sum A_1 \hat{i} = \frac{3(\vec{A} \cdot \vec{r})}{r^5} \vec{r} - \frac{\vec{A}}{r^3} . \text{ (Proved)}$$

Question :- If $\vec{r} = x \hat{i} + y \hat{j} + z \hat{k}$, $r = |\vec{r}|$ and \vec{a} is a constant vector, then show that

(a) $\text{curl} (\vec{a} \times \vec{r}) = 2 \vec{a}$

$$(b) \text{grad} (r^n) = nr^{n-2} \vec{r}$$

$$(c) \text{curl}\{r^n(\vec{a} \times \vec{r})\} = (n+2) r^n \vec{a} - nr^{n-2}(\vec{r} \cdot \vec{a}) \vec{r}.$$

Answer :- (a) $\text{curl} (\vec{a} \times \vec{r})$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\vec{a} \times \vec{r}) = \sum \hat{i} \times \left\{ \frac{\partial \vec{a}}{\partial x} \times \vec{r} + \vec{a} \times \frac{\partial \vec{r}}{\partial x} \right\} \\ &= \sum \hat{i} \times \left\{ \vec{0} + \vec{a} \times \hat{i} \right\} \quad [\because \vec{a} \text{ is a constant vector}] \\ &= \sum \hat{i} \times (\vec{a} \times \hat{i}) = \sum \left\{ (\hat{i} \cdot \hat{i}) \vec{a} - (\hat{i} \cdot \vec{a}) \hat{i} \right\} \\ &= 3\vec{a} - \vec{a} = 2\vec{a}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \text{curl} (\vec{a} \times \vec{r}) = 2\vec{a}. \quad (1)$$

(b) $\text{grad} (r^n)$

$$= \sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (r^n) = \sum \hat{i} n r^{n-1} \frac{\partial r}{\partial x} = \sum \hat{i} n r^{n-2} x = n r^{n-2} \vec{r}. \quad (2)$$

$$(c) \text{curl}\{r^n(\vec{a} \times \vec{r})\} = \vec{\nabla} \times \{r^n(\vec{a} \times \vec{r})\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= r^n \{ \vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{a} \times \vec{r}) \} + \vec{\nabla} (r^n) \times (\vec{a} \times \vec{r}) \\ &= r^n 2\vec{a} + n r^{n-2} \vec{r} \times (\vec{a} \times \vec{r}) \quad [\text{by (1), (2)}] \\ &= 2r^n \vec{a} + n r^{n-2} \{ (\vec{r} \cdot \vec{r}) \vec{a} - (\vec{r} \cdot \vec{a}) \vec{r} \} \\ &= 2r^n \vec{a} + n r^n \vec{a} - nr^{n-2} (\vec{r} \cdot \vec{a}) \vec{r} \\ &= (n+2) r^n \vec{a} - nr^{n-2} (\vec{r} \cdot \vec{a}) \vec{r}. \end{aligned}$$

Question :- If \vec{a} be a constant vector, then prove that

$$(i) \text{curl} (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{r}) \vec{a} = \vec{0}$$

$$(ii) \text{curl} \left(\frac{\vec{a} \times \vec{r}}{r^3} \right) = -\frac{\vec{a}}{r^3} + \frac{3\vec{r}}{r^5} (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{r}), \quad [r = |\vec{r}|].$$

Answer :- Let $\vec{a} = a_1 \hat{i} + a_2 \hat{j} + a_3 \hat{k}$, where a_1, a_2, a_3 are constants and $\vec{r} = x \hat{i} + y \hat{j} + z \hat{k}$, $r^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$, $\vec{a} \cdot \vec{r} = a_1 x + a_2 y + a_3 z$.

$$(i) \text{Now } \text{curl} (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{r}) \vec{a} = \vec{\nabla} \times \{ (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{r}) \vec{a} \}$$

$$= (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{r}) (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{a}) + \vec{\nabla} (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{r}) \times \vec{a}. \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Now } \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{a} = \vec{0} \text{ and } \vec{\nabla} (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{r}) = \sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (a_1 x + a_2 y + a_3 z) = \sum \hat{i} a_1 = \vec{a}.$$

From (1), we get

$$\text{curl} (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{r}) \vec{a} = \vec{0} + \vec{a} \times \vec{a} = \vec{0}. \quad (\text{Proved})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(ii) \quad \text{curl} \left(\frac{\vec{a} \times \vec{r}}{r^3} \right) &= \vec{\nabla} \times \left(\frac{\vec{a} \times \vec{r}}{r^3} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{r^3} \{ \vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{a} \times \vec{r}) \} + \vec{\nabla} \left(\frac{1}{r^3} \right) \times (\vec{a} \times \vec{r}) .
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Now curl} (\vec{a} \times \vec{r}) &= \vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{a} \times \vec{r}) \\
&= \sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \times (\vec{a} \times \vec{r}) = \sum \hat{i} \times \left\{ \frac{\partial \vec{a}}{\partial x} \times \vec{r} + \vec{a} \times \frac{\partial \vec{r}}{\partial x} \right\} \\
&= \sum \hat{i} \times \{ \vec{0} + \vec{a} \times \hat{i} \} \quad [\because \vec{a} \text{ is a constant vector}] \\
&= \sum \hat{i} \times (\vec{a} \times \hat{i}) = \sum \{ (\hat{i} \cdot \hat{i}) \vec{a} - (\hat{i} \cdot \vec{a}) \hat{i} \} \\
&= 3\vec{a} - \vec{a} = 2\vec{a} ,
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{and grad} \left(\frac{1}{r^3} \right) &= \vec{\nabla} \left(\frac{1}{r^3} \right) \\
&= \sum \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{1}{r^3} \right) = -3 \sum \hat{i} \frac{1}{r^4} \frac{\partial r}{\partial x} = -3 \sum \hat{i} \frac{x}{r^5} = -\frac{3}{r^5} \vec{r} .
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

From (1), (2) and (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{curl} \left(\frac{\vec{a} \times \vec{r}}{r^3} \right) &= \frac{2\vec{a}}{r^3} - \frac{3}{r^5} \vec{r} \times (\vec{a} \times \vec{r}) \\
&= \frac{2\vec{a}}{r^3} - \frac{3}{r^5} \{ (\vec{r} \cdot \vec{r}) \vec{a} - (\vec{r} \cdot \vec{a}) \vec{r} \} \\
&= \frac{2\vec{a}}{r^3} - \frac{3\vec{a}}{r^3} + \frac{3(\vec{r} \cdot \vec{a}) \vec{r}}{r^5} \\
&= -\frac{\vec{a}}{r^3} + \frac{3}{r^5} (\vec{r} \cdot \vec{a}) \vec{r} . \text{ (Proved)}
\end{aligned}$$

Question :- If the vector $\vec{f} = 3x \hat{i} + (x+y) \hat{j} - az \hat{k}$ is solenoidal, find a .

Answer :- The vector \vec{f} is solenoidal, so $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{f} = 0$.

$$\text{Now} \quad \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{f} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(3x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(x+y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(-az) = 3 + 1 - a = 4 - a .$$

Since $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{f} = 0$, so $a = 4$. Hence the required value of 'a' is 4.

Question :- For what value of the constant a , will the vector field $\vec{A} = (axy - z^3)\hat{i} + (a-2)x^2\hat{j} + (1-a)xz^2\hat{k}$ be always irrotational?

Answer :- The vector \vec{A} is always irrotational, so $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} = \vec{0}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} &= \begin{vmatrix} \hat{i} & \hat{j} & \hat{k} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ axy - z^3 & (a-2)x^2 & (1-a)xz^2 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (0-0)\hat{i} + \{-3z^2 - (1-a)z^2\}\hat{j} + \{2(a-2)x - ax\}\hat{k} \\ &= 0\hat{i} + (a-4)z^2\hat{j} + (a-4)x\hat{k}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} = \vec{0}$, so $a = 4$.

Hence the value of a is 4.

Question :- Find the constants a, b, c so that $\vec{f} = (x + 2y + az)\hat{i} + (bx - 3y - z)\hat{j} + (4x + cy + 2z)\hat{k}$ is irrotational.

Answer :- The vector \vec{f} is irrotational, so $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{f} = \vec{0}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{f} &= \begin{vmatrix} \hat{i} & \hat{j} & \hat{k} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ x + 2y + az & bx - 3y - z & 4x + cy + 2z \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (c+1)\hat{i} + (a-4)\hat{j} + (b-2)\hat{k}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{f} = \vec{0}$, so $a = 4, b = 2, c = -1$.

Question :- Find the constants a, b, c such that the vector $\vec{V} = (-4x - 3y + az)\hat{i} + (bx + 3y + 5z)\hat{j} + (4x + cy + 3z)\hat{k}$ is irrotational.

Answer :- The vector \vec{V} is irrotational, so $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{V} = \vec{0}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{V} &= \begin{vmatrix} \hat{i} & \hat{j} & \hat{k} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ -4x - 3y + az & bx + 3y + 5z & 4x + cy + 3z \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (c-5)\hat{i} + (a-4)\hat{j} + (b+3)\hat{k}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{V} = \vec{0}$, so $a = 4, b = -3, c = 5$.

Question :- Show that the force field given by $\vec{F} = (4xy - 3x^2z^2)\hat{i} + 2x^2\hat{j} - 2x^3z\hat{k}$ is irrotational. Find a scalar function ϕ such that $\vec{F} = \vec{\nabla}\phi$.

Answer :- We know that, the force field \vec{F} be irrotational, iff $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{F} = \vec{0}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{F} &= \begin{vmatrix} \hat{i} & \hat{j} & \hat{k} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ 4xy - 3x^2z^2 & 2x^2 & -2x^3z \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (0 - 0)\hat{i} + (-6x^2z + 6x^2z)\hat{j} + (4x - 4x)\hat{k} = \vec{0}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence \vec{F} is an irrotational force field.

To find the scalar function ϕ of \vec{F} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\phi &= \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y} dy + \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial z} dz \\ &= \left\{ \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x} \hat{i} + \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y} \hat{j} + \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial z} \hat{k} \right\} \cdot \left\{ dx \hat{i} + dy \hat{j} + dz \hat{k} \right\} = \vec{\nabla}\phi \cdot d\vec{r} \\ &= \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = (4xy - 3x^2z^2) dx + 2x^2 dy - 2x^3z dz \\ &= 2(2xydx + x^2dy) - (3x^2z^2 dx + 2x^3z dz) = d(2x^2y - x^3z^2). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating, we get

$$\phi = 2x^2y - x^3z^2 + c, \text{ where } c \text{ is a constant of integration.}$$

Question :- Show that the vector $\vec{F} = (2x - yz)\hat{i} + (2y - zx)\hat{j} + (2z - xy)\hat{k}$ is irrotational. For this \vec{F} , find a scalar function ϕ such that $\vec{F} = \vec{\nabla}\phi$.

Answer :- The vector \vec{F} is irrotational, if $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{F} = \vec{0}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{F} &= \begin{vmatrix} \hat{i} & \hat{j} & \hat{k} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ 2x - yz & 2y - zx & 2z - xy \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (-x + x)\hat{i} + (-y + y)\hat{j} + (-z + z)\hat{k} = \vec{0}; \end{aligned}$$

is satisfied and hence the vector \vec{F} is an irrotational vector.

To find the scalar function ϕ of \vec{F} , we have $d\phi = \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y} dy + \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial z} dz = \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r}$.

$$\therefore d\phi = (2x - yz) dx + (2y - zx) dy + (2z - xy) dz$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (2x dx + 2y dy + 2z dz) - (yz dx + zx dy + xy dz) \\ &= d(x^2 + y^2 + z^2) - d(xyz) = d(x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz) . \end{aligned}$$

Integrating, we get

$$\phi = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz + c , \text{ where } c \text{ is a constant of integration.}$$

Question :- Show that $\vec{A} = (6xy + z^3)\hat{i} + (3x^2 - z)\hat{j} + (3xz^2 - y)\hat{k}$ is irrotational. Find ϕ such that $\vec{A} = \vec{\nabla}\phi$ and $\phi(1, 1, 1) = 3$.

Answer :- The vector \vec{A} is irrotational, if $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} = \vec{0}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} &= \begin{vmatrix} \hat{i} & \hat{j} & \hat{k} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ 6xy + z^3 & 3x^2 - z & 3xz^2 - y \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (-1 + 1)\hat{i} + (3z^2 - 3z^2)\hat{j} + (6x - 6x)\hat{k} = \vec{0} ; \end{aligned}$$

is satisfied and hence the vector \vec{A} is an irrotational vector.

To find the scalar function ϕ of \vec{A} , we have $d\phi = \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y} dy + \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial z} dz = \vec{A} \cdot d\vec{r}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore d\phi &= (6xy + z^3) dx + (3x^2 - z) dy + (3xz^2 - y) dz \\ &= (z^3 dx + 3xz^2 dz) + 3(2xy dx + x^2 dy) - (z dy + y dz) \\ &= d(xz^3 + 3x^2y - yz) . \end{aligned}$$

Integrating, we get

$$\phi = xz^3 + 3x^2y - yz + c , \text{ where } c \text{ is a constant of integration .}$$

$$\therefore \phi(1, 1, 1) = 3 , \Rightarrow 3 = 1 + 3 - 1 + c , \Rightarrow c = 0 .$$

$$\therefore \phi = xz^3 + 3x^2y - yz .$$

Question :- Show that the vector $\vec{F} = (y^2 \cos x + z^3)\hat{i} + (2y \sin x - 4)\hat{j} + (3xz^2 + 2)\hat{k}$ is irrotational. Find the scalar function ϕ for \vec{F} such that $\vec{F} = \vec{\nabla}\phi$.

Answer :- The vector \vec{F} is irrotational, if $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{F} = \vec{0}$.

$$\text{Now } \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{F} = \begin{vmatrix} \hat{i} & \hat{j} & \hat{k} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ y^2 \cos x + z^3 & 2y \sin x - 4 & 3xz^2 + 2 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= (0 - 0) \hat{i} - (3z^2 - 3z^2) \hat{j} + (2y \cos x - 2y \cos x) \hat{k} = \vec{0} ;$$

is satisfied and hence the vector \vec{F} is an irrotational vector.

To find the scalar function ϕ of \vec{F} , we have $d\phi = \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y} dy + \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial z} dz = \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore d\phi &= (y^2 \cos x + z^3) dx + (2y \sin x - 4) dy + (3xz^2 + 2) dz \\ &= y^2 \cos x dx + 2y \sin x dy + z^3 dx + 3xz^2 dz - 4 dy + 2 dz \\ &= d(y^2 \sin x) + d(z^3 x) - 4 dy + 2 dz = d(y^2 \sin x + xz^3 - 4y + 2z) . \end{aligned}$$

Integrating, we get

$$\phi = y^2 \sin x + xz^3 - 4y + 2z + c , \text{ where } c \text{ is a constant of integration.}$$

Question :- Show that the vector $\vec{F} = (2xy + z^3) \hat{i} + x^2 \hat{j} + 3xz^2 \hat{k}$ is irrotational. Find the scalar function ϕ for \vec{F} such that $\vec{F} = \vec{\nabla} \phi$.

Answer :- The vector \vec{F} is irrotational, if $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{F} = \vec{0}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{F} &= \begin{vmatrix} \hat{i} & \hat{j} & \hat{k} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ 2xy + z^3 & x^2 & 3xz^2 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (0 - 0) \hat{i} - (3z^2 - 3z^2) \hat{j} + (2x - 2x) \hat{k} = \vec{0} ; \end{aligned}$$

is satisfied and hence the vector \vec{F} is an irrotational vector.

To find the scalar function ϕ of \vec{F} , we have $d\phi = \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y} dy + \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial z} dz = \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore d\phi &= (2xy + z^3) dx + x^2 dy + 3xz^2 dz \\ &= (2xy dx + x^2 dy) + (z^3 dx + 3xz^2 dz) \\ &= d(x^2 y) + d(xz^3) = d(x^2 y + xz^3) . \end{aligned}$$

Integrating, we get

$$\phi = x^2 y + xz^3 + c , \text{ where } c \text{ is a constant of integration.}$$

Question :- Find the directional derivative of the function $f = x^2 - y^2 + z^2$ at the point $P(1, 2, -3)$ in the direction of the vector \vec{PQ} , where Q is the point $(3, 1, 2)$.

Answer :- The unit vector in the direction of the vector $\vec{PQ} = 2\hat{i} - \hat{j} + 5\hat{k}$ is $\hat{a} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{30}} (2\hat{i} - \hat{j} + 5\hat{k})$.

Now $\vec{\nabla} f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \hat{i} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \hat{j} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \hat{k} = 2x \hat{i} - 2y \hat{j} + 2z \hat{k}$.

$$\therefore \left[\vec{\nabla} f \right]_{(1,2,-3)} = 2 \hat{i} - 4 \hat{j} - 6 \hat{k}.$$

Hence the directional derivative of f at the point $P(1, 2, -3)$ in the direction of the vector \vec{PQ} is

$$\vec{\nabla} f(1, 2, -3) \cdot \hat{a} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{30}} (4 + 4 - 30) = -\frac{22}{\sqrt{30}} = -\frac{11}{15} \sqrt{30}.$$

Question :- Find the directional derivative of the function $f = x^2 - y^2 + 2z^2$ at the point $P(1, 2, 3)$ in the direction of the line PQ , where Q is $(5, 0, 4)$.

Answer :- The unit vector in the direction of the line PQ is $\vec{PQ} = 4\hat{i} - 2\hat{j} + \hat{k}$ is $\hat{a} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{21}} (4\hat{i} - 2\hat{j} + \hat{k})$.

Now $\vec{\nabla} f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \hat{i} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \hat{j} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \hat{k} = 2x \hat{i} - 2y \hat{j} + 4z \hat{k}$.

$$\therefore \left[\vec{\nabla} f \right]_{(1,2,3)} = 2 \hat{i} - 4 \hat{j} + 12 \hat{k}.$$

Hence the directional derivative of f at the point $P(1, 2, 3)$ in the direction of the line PQ is

$$\vec{\nabla} f(1, 2, 3) \cdot \hat{a} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{21}} (8 + 8 + 12) = \frac{28}{\sqrt{21}}.$$

Question :- If $f = x^3 + y^3 + z^3$, find the directional derivative of f at the point $(1, -1, 2)$ in the direction of the vector $\hat{j} - \hat{k}$.

Answer :- The unit vector in the direction of the vector $\hat{j} - \hat{k}$ is $\hat{a} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\hat{j} - \hat{k})$.

Now $\vec{\nabla} f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \hat{i} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \hat{j} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \hat{k} = 3x^2 \hat{i} + 3y^2 \hat{j} + 3z^2 \hat{k}$.

$$\therefore \left[\vec{\nabla} f \right]_{(1,-1,2)} = 3 \hat{i} + 3 \hat{j} + 12 \hat{k}.$$

Hence the directional derivative of f at the point $(1, -1, 2)$ in the direction of the vector $(\hat{j} - \hat{k})$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\nabla} f(1, -1, 2) \cdot \hat{a} &= (3 \hat{i} + 3 \hat{j} + 12 \hat{k}) \cdot \left(0 \hat{i} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \hat{j} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \hat{k} \right) \\ &= \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{12}{\sqrt{2}} = -\frac{9}{\sqrt{2}} = -\frac{9}{2} \sqrt{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Question :- Find the directional derivative of the function $f(x, y, z) = yz + zx + xy$ in the direction $\vec{u} = \hat{i} + 2\hat{j} + 2\hat{k}$ at the point $(1, 2, 0)$.

Answer :- The unit vector in the direction of the vector $\vec{u} = \hat{i} + 2\hat{j} + 2\hat{k}$ is $\hat{u} = \frac{\vec{u}}{|\vec{u}|} = \frac{1}{3}(\hat{i} + 2\hat{j} + 2\hat{k})$.

Now $\vec{\nabla}f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\hat{i} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\hat{j} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}\hat{k} = (y+z)\hat{i} + (z+x)\hat{j} + (x+y)\hat{k}$.

$\therefore \left[\vec{\nabla}f\right]_{(1, 2, 0)} = 2\hat{i} + \hat{j} + 3\hat{k}$.

Hence the directional derivative of f at the point $(1, 2, 0)$ in the direction of the vector $(\hat{i} + 2\hat{j} + 2\hat{k})$ is

$$\vec{\nabla}f(1, 2, 0) \cdot \hat{u} = (2\hat{i} + \hat{j} + 3\hat{k}) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{3}\hat{i} + \frac{2}{3}\hat{j} + \frac{2}{3}\hat{k}\right) = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{6}{3} = \frac{10}{3}.$$

Question :- Find the directional derivative of $\phi = xyz + 4x^2z$ at $(-1, 1, 2)$ in the direction $2\hat{i} + \hat{j} - 2\hat{k}$.

Answer :- The unit vector in the direction of the vector $2\hat{i} + \hat{j} - 2\hat{k}$ is $\hat{a} = \frac{1}{3}(2\hat{i} + \hat{j} - 2\hat{k})$.

Now $\vec{\nabla}\phi = \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}\hat{i} + \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y}\hat{j} + \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial z}\hat{k} = (y^2z + 8xz)\hat{i} + (2xyz)\hat{j} + (xy^2 + 4x^2)\hat{k}$.

$\therefore \left[\vec{\nabla}\phi\right]_{(-1, 1, 2)} = -14\hat{i} - 4\hat{j} + 3\hat{k}$.

Hence the directional derivative of ϕ at the point $(-1, 1, 2)$ in the direction of the vector $(2\hat{i} + \hat{j} - 2\hat{k})$ is

$$\vec{\nabla}\phi(-1, 1, 2) \cdot \hat{a} = (-14\hat{i} - 4\hat{j} + 3\hat{k}) \cdot \left(\frac{2}{3}\hat{i} + \frac{1}{3}\hat{j} - \frac{2}{3}\hat{k}\right) = -\frac{28}{3} - \frac{4}{3} - 2 = -\frac{38}{3}.$$

Since this is negative, ϕ is decreasing in this direction.

Question :- Find the directional derivative of $\phi = x^2yz + 4xz^2$ at $(1, -2, -1)$ in the direction $2\hat{i} - \hat{j} - 2\hat{k}$. In what direction the directional derivative will be maximum and what is its magnitude?

Answer :- The unit vector in the direction of the vector $2\hat{i} - \hat{j} - 2\hat{k}$ is $\hat{a} = \frac{1}{3}(2\hat{i} - \hat{j} - 2\hat{k})$.

Now $\vec{\nabla}\phi = \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}\hat{i} + \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y}\hat{j} + \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial z}\hat{k} = (2xyz + 4z^2)\hat{i} + (x^2z)\hat{j} + (x^2y + 8xz)\hat{k}$.

$\therefore \left[\vec{\nabla}\phi\right]_{(1, -2, -1)} = 8\hat{i} - \hat{j} - 10\hat{k}$.

Hence the directional derivative of ϕ at the point $(1, -2, -1)$ in the direction of the vector $(2\hat{i} - \hat{j} - 2\hat{k})$ is

$$\vec{\nabla}\phi(1, -2, -1) \cdot \hat{a} = (8\hat{i} - \hat{j} - 10\hat{k}) \cdot \left(\frac{2}{3}\hat{i} - \frac{1}{3}\hat{j} - \frac{2}{3}\hat{k}\right) = \frac{16}{3} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{20}{3} = \frac{37}{3}.$$

The maximum value of the directional derivative of ϕ at the point $(1, -2, -1)$ is

$$\left|\vec{\nabla}\phi(1, -2, -1)\right| = |8\hat{i} - \hat{j} - 10\hat{k}| = \sqrt{64 + 1 + 100} = \sqrt{165}.$$

The direction of ϕ at $(1, -2, -1)$ attained in maximum value is given by

$$\frac{\vec{\nabla}\phi(1, -2, -1)}{|\vec{\nabla}\phi(1, -2, -1)|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{165}} (8\hat{i} - \hat{j} - 10\hat{k}).$$

Question :- Find the directional derivative of the function $f(x, y, z) = 2xy - z^2$ at the point $P(2, -1, 1)$ in the direction towards the point $(3, 1, -1)$. In what direction is the directional derivative maximum?

Answer :- Let $Q(3, 1, -1)$ be a point. The unit vector in the direction of the vector $\overrightarrow{PQ} = \hat{i} + 2\hat{j} - 2\hat{k}$ is $\hat{a} = \frac{1}{3}(\hat{i} + 2\hat{j} - 2\hat{k})$.

$$\text{Now } \vec{\nabla}f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\hat{i} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\hat{j} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}\hat{k} = 2y\hat{i} + 2x\hat{j} - 2z\hat{k}.$$

$$\therefore \left[\vec{\nabla}f\right]_{(2, -1, 1)} = -2\hat{i} + 4\hat{j} - 2\hat{k}.$$

Hence the directional derivative of ϕ at the point $(2, -1, 1)$ in the direction of \overrightarrow{PQ} is $\vec{\nabla}f(2, -1, 1) \cdot \hat{a} = (-2\hat{i} + 4\hat{j} - 2\hat{k}) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{3}\hat{i} + \frac{2}{3}\hat{j} - \frac{2}{3}\hat{k}\right) = -\frac{2}{3} + \frac{8}{3} + \frac{4}{3} = \frac{10}{3}$.

The maximum value of the directional derivative of f at the point $(2, -1, 1)$ is

$$\left|\vec{\nabla}f(2, -1, 1)\right| = |-2\hat{i} + 4\hat{j} - 2\hat{k}| = \sqrt{4 + 16 + 4} = \sqrt{24} = 2\sqrt{6}.$$

The direction of f at $(2, -1, 1)$ attained in maximum value is given by

$$\frac{\vec{\nabla}f(2, -1, 1)}{|\vec{\nabla}f(2, -1, 1)|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (-\hat{i} + 2\hat{j} - \hat{k}).$$

Question :- Find the maximum value of the directional derivative of $\phi = xy^2 + 2yz - 3x^3z^2$ at the point $(1, -1, 1)$. Find also the direction in which it occurs.

Answer :- Here $\phi = xy^2 + 2yz - 3x^3z^2$.

$$\therefore \vec{\nabla}\phi = \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}\hat{i} + \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y}\hat{j} + \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial z}\hat{k} = (y^2 - 9x^2z^2)\hat{i} + (2xy + 2z)\hat{j} + (2y - 6x^3z)\hat{k}.$$

$$\therefore \left[\vec{\nabla}\phi\right]_{(1, -1, 1)} = -8\hat{i} + 0\hat{j} - 8\hat{k}.$$

The maximum value of the directional derivative of ϕ at the point $(1, -1, 1)$ is given by

$$\left|\vec{\nabla}\phi(1, -1, 1)\right| = |-8\hat{i} + 0\hat{j} - 8\hat{k}| = \sqrt{64 + 0 + 64} = 8\sqrt{2}.$$

The direction of ϕ at $(1, -1, 1)$ attained in maximum value is given by

$$\frac{\vec{\nabla}\phi(1, -1, 1)}{|\vec{\nabla}\phi(1, -1, 1)|} = \frac{1}{8\sqrt{2}} (-8\hat{i} + 0\hat{j} - 8\hat{k}) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\hat{i} + \hat{k}).$$

Question :- Find the maximum value of the directional derivative of $\phi = 2zx - y^2$ at the point $(1, 3, 2)$ and also the direction in which it occurs.

Answer :- Here $\phi = 2zx - y^2$.

$$\therefore \vec{\nabla}\phi = \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}\hat{i} + \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y}\hat{j} + \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial z}\hat{k} = 2z\hat{i} - 2y\hat{j} + 2x\hat{k}.$$

$$\therefore \left[\vec{\nabla}\phi\right]_{(1,3,2)} = 4\hat{i} - 6\hat{j} + 2\hat{k}.$$

The maximum value of the directional derivative of ϕ at the point $(1, 3, 2)$ is given by

$$\left|\vec{\nabla}\phi(1, 3, 2)\right| = |4\hat{i} - 6\hat{j} + 2\hat{k}| = \sqrt{16 + 36 + 4} = \sqrt{56} = 2\sqrt{14}.$$

The direction of ϕ at $(1, 3, 2)$ attained in maximum value is given by

$$\frac{\vec{\nabla}\phi(1, 3, 2)}{\left|\vec{\nabla}\phi(1, 3, 2)\right|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{14}} (2\hat{i} - 3\hat{j} + \hat{k}).$$

Question :- Find the maximum value of the directional derivative of $\phi = x^2 + z^2 - y^2$ at the point $(1, 3, 2)$ and also the direction in which it occurs.

Answer :- Here $\phi = x^2 + z^2 - y^2$.

$$\therefore \vec{\nabla}\phi = \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}\hat{i} + \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y}\hat{j} + \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial z}\hat{k} = 2x\hat{i} - 2y\hat{j} + 2z\hat{k}.$$

$$\therefore \left[\vec{\nabla}\phi\right]_{(1,3,2)} = 2\hat{i} - 6\hat{j} + 4\hat{k}.$$

The maximum value of the directional derivative of ϕ at the point $(1, 3, 2)$ is given by

$$\left|\vec{\nabla}\phi(1, 3, 2)\right| = |2\hat{i} - 6\hat{j} + 4\hat{k}| = \sqrt{4 + 36 + 16} = \sqrt{56} = 2\sqrt{14}.$$

The direction of ϕ at $(1, 3, 2)$ attained in maximum value is given by

$$\frac{\vec{\nabla}\phi(1, 3, 2)}{\left|\vec{\nabla}\phi(1, 3, 2)\right|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{14}} (\hat{i} - 3\hat{j} + 2\hat{k}).$$

Question :- If $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} = 0 = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{H}$, $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial\vec{H}}{\partial t}$ and $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{H} = \frac{\partial\vec{E}}{\partial t}$, then show that $\vec{\nabla}^2\vec{H} = \frac{\partial^2\vec{H}}{\partial t^2}$ and $\vec{\nabla}^2\vec{E} = \frac{\partial^2\vec{E}}{\partial t^2}$.

Answer :- It is given that

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial\vec{H}}{\partial t}, \quad (1)$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{H} = \frac{\partial\vec{E}}{\partial t} \quad (2)$$

and
$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} = 0 = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{H}. \quad (3)$$

Taking curl both sides of (1), we get

$$\vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E}) = \vec{\nabla} \times \left(-\frac{\partial\vec{H}}{\partial t}\right),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Rightarrow \vec{\nabla} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E}) - (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{H}), \\
&\Rightarrow -(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} \right), \text{ [by (3) and (2)]} \\
&\Rightarrow \vec{\nabla}^2 \vec{E} = \frac{\partial^2 \vec{E}}{\partial t^2}. \text{ (Proved)}
\end{aligned}$$

Taking curl both sides of (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{H}) = \vec{\nabla} \times \left(\frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} \right), \\
&\Rightarrow \vec{\nabla} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{H}) - (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{H} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E}), \\
&\Rightarrow -(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{H} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(-\frac{\partial \vec{H}}{\partial t} \right), \text{ [by (3) and (1)]} \\
&\Rightarrow \vec{\nabla}^2 \vec{H} = \frac{\partial^2 \vec{H}}{\partial t^2}. \text{ (Proved)}
\end{aligned}$$

Question :- Find the angle of intersection of the spheres $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + 4x - 6y - 8z - 47 = 0$ and $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 29 = 0$, at point of intersection being $(4, -3, 2)$.

Answer :- Let $f = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + 4x - 6y - 8z - 47$ and $g = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 29$.
Then $\vec{\nabla} f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \hat{i} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \hat{j} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \hat{k} = (2x + 4) \hat{i} + (2y - 6) \hat{j} + (2z - 8) \hat{k}$ and
 $\vec{\nabla} g = \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} \hat{i} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} \hat{j} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} \hat{k} = 2x \hat{i} + 2y \hat{j} + 2z \hat{k}$.
 $\therefore \left[\vec{\nabla} f \right]_{(4,-3,2)} = 12 \hat{i} - 12 \hat{j} - 4 \hat{k}$ and $\left[\vec{\nabla} g \right]_{(4,-3,2)} = 8 \hat{i} - 6 \hat{j} + 4 \hat{k}$.

Let θ be the angle between the surfaces. Then θ is the angle between the normals to the surfaces. This angle at $(4, -3, 2)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\cos \theta &= \frac{\vec{\nabla} f(4, -3, 2) \cdot \vec{\nabla} g(4, -3, 2)}{\left| \vec{\nabla} f(4, -3, 2) \right| \left| \vec{\nabla} g(4, -3, 2) \right|} \\
&= \frac{(12 \hat{i} - 12 \hat{j} - 4 \hat{k}) \cdot (8 \hat{i} - 6 \hat{j} + 4 \hat{k})}{\sqrt{144 + 144 + 16} \sqrt{64 + 36 + 16}} = \frac{152}{\sqrt{304} \sqrt{116}}. \\
\therefore \theta &= \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{152}{\sqrt{304} \sqrt{116}} \right), \text{ which is the required angle.}
\end{aligned}$$

Question :- Find the angle of intersection of the spheres $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 9$ and $z = x^2 + y^2 - 3$, at the point $(2, -1, 2)$.

Answer :- Let $f = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 9$ and $g = x^2 + y^2 - z - 3$.

Then $\vec{\nabla} f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \hat{i} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \hat{j} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \hat{k} = 2x \hat{i} + 2y \hat{j} + 2z \hat{k}$ and

$\vec{\nabla} g = \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} \hat{i} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} \hat{j} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} \hat{k} = 2x \hat{i} + 2y \hat{j} - \hat{k}$.

$\therefore \left[\vec{\nabla} f \right]_{(2,-1,2)} = 4 \hat{i} - 2 \hat{j} + 4 \hat{k}$ and $\left[\vec{\nabla} g \right]_{(2,-1,2)} = 4 \hat{i} - 2 \hat{j} - \hat{k}$.

Let θ be the angle between the surfaces. Then θ is the angle between the normals to the surfaces. This angle at $(2, -1, 2)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \cos \theta &= \frac{\vec{\nabla} f(2, -1, 2) \cdot \vec{\nabla} g(2, -1, 2)}{\left| \vec{\nabla} f(2, -1, 2) \right| \left| \vec{\nabla} g(2, -1, 2) \right|} \\ &= \frac{(4 \hat{i} - 2 \hat{j} + 4 \hat{k}) \cdot (4 \hat{i} - 2 \hat{j} - \hat{k})}{\sqrt{16 + 4 + 16} \sqrt{16 + 4 + 1}} = \frac{16}{6 \sqrt{21}} = \frac{8}{3 \sqrt{21}} . \\ \therefore \theta &= \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{8}{3 \sqrt{21}} \right), \text{ which is the required angle.} \end{aligned}$$

Question :- Find the unit normal vector and tangent plane to the surface $x^2yz + 4xz^2 = 6$ at the point $(1, -2, 1)$.

Answer :- Let $f = x^2yz + 4xz^2 - 6$.

Then $\vec{\nabla} f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \hat{i} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \hat{j} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \hat{k} = (2xyz + 4z^2) \hat{i} + x^2z \hat{j} + (x^2y + 8xz) \hat{k}$.

$\therefore \left[\vec{\nabla} f \right]_{(1,-2,1)} = 0 \hat{i} + \hat{j} + 6 \hat{k}$.

The unit normal vector to the surface $f = x^2yz + 4xz^2 - 6 = 0$ at the point $(1, -2, 1)$ is

$$\hat{n} = \frac{\vec{\nabla} f(1, -2, 1)}{\left| \vec{\nabla} f(1, -2, 1) \right|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{37}} (\hat{j} + 6 \hat{k}) .$$

The equation of the tangent plane at the point $\vec{r}_0 = \hat{i} - 2 \hat{j} + \hat{k}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} (\vec{r} - \vec{r}_0) \cdot \vec{\nabla} f(1, -2, 1) &= 0 , \\ \Rightarrow (x - 1) 0 + (y + 2) 1 + (z - 1) 6 &= 0 , \\ \Rightarrow y + 6z &= 4 . \end{aligned}$$

Question :- Find the equations of the tangent plane and normal line to the surface $xyz = 4$ at the point $\hat{i} + 2 \hat{j} + 2 \hat{k}$.

Answer :- Let $f = xyz - 4$.

Then $\vec{\nabla} f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \hat{i} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \hat{j} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \hat{k} = yz \hat{i} + xz \hat{j} + xy \hat{k}$.

$\therefore \left[\vec{\nabla} f \right]_{(1,2,2)} = 4 \hat{i} + 2 \hat{j} + 2 \hat{k}$.

The equation of the tangent plane at the point $\vec{r}_0 = \hat{i} + 2 \hat{j} + 2 \hat{k}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} (\vec{r} - \vec{r}_0) \cdot \vec{\nabla} f(1, 2, 2) &= 0, \\ \Rightarrow (x-1)4 + (y-2)2 + (z-2)2 &= 0, \\ \Rightarrow 2x + y + z &= 6. \end{aligned}$$

The equation of the normal line is

$$\begin{aligned} (\vec{r} - \vec{r}_0) \times \vec{\nabla} f(1, 2, 2) &= \vec{0}, \\ \Rightarrow \begin{vmatrix} \hat{i} & \hat{j} & \hat{k} \\ x-1 & y-2 & z-2 \\ 4 & 2 & 2 \end{vmatrix} &= \vec{0}, \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow \{2(y-2) - 2(z-2)\} \hat{i} + \{4(z-2) - 2(x-1)\} \hat{j} + \{2(x-1) - 4(y-2)\} \hat{k} = 0 \hat{i} + 0 \hat{j} + 0 \hat{k}$,

$\Rightarrow y - 2 = z - 2$, $2(z - 2) = x - 1$, $x - 1 = 2(y - 2)$,

$\Rightarrow \frac{y-2}{1} = \frac{z-2}{1}$, $\frac{z-2}{1} = \frac{x-1}{2}$, $\frac{x-1}{2} = \frac{y-2}{1}$.

Hence the required equation of the normal line is $\frac{x-1}{2} = \frac{y-2}{1} = \frac{z-2}{1}$.

Question :- Find the equations of the tangent plane and normal line to the surface $xz^2 + x^2y = z - 1$ at the point $(1, -3, 2)$.

Answer :- Let $f = xz^2 + x^2y - z + 1$.

Then $\vec{\nabla} f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \hat{i} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \hat{j} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \hat{k} = (z^2 + 2xy) \hat{i} + x^2 \hat{j} + (2xz - 1) \hat{k}$.

$\therefore \left[\vec{\nabla} f \right]_{(1,-3,2)} = -2 \hat{i} + \hat{j} + 3 \hat{k}$.

The equation of the tangent plane at the point $\vec{r}_0 = \hat{i} - 3 \hat{j} + 2 \hat{k}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} (\vec{r} - \vec{r}_0) \cdot \vec{\nabla} f(1, -3, 2) &= 0, \\ \Rightarrow (x-1)(-2) + (y+3)1 + (z-2)3 &= 0, \\ \Rightarrow -2x + y + 3z &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

The equation of the normal line is

$$(\vec{r} - \vec{r}_0) \times \vec{\nabla} f(1, -3, 2) = \vec{0},$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{vmatrix} \hat{i} & \hat{j} & \hat{k} \\ x-1 & y+3 & z-2 \\ -2 & 1 & 3 \end{vmatrix} = \vec{0},$$

$$\Rightarrow \{3(y+3)-(z-2)\}\hat{i} + \{-2(z-2)-3(x-1)\}\hat{j} + \{(x-1)+2(y+3)\}\hat{k} = 0\hat{i} + 0\hat{j} + 0\hat{k},$$

$$\Rightarrow 3(y+3) = z-2, \quad 2(z-2) = -3(x-1), \quad x-1 = -2(y+3),$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{y+3}{1} = \frac{z-2}{3}, \quad \frac{z-2}{3} = \frac{x-1}{-2}, \quad \frac{x-1}{-2} = \frac{y+3}{1}.$$

Hence the required equation of the normal line is $\frac{x-1}{-2} = \frac{y+3}{1} = \frac{z-2}{3}$.

Question :- If the vectors \vec{A} and \vec{B} be irrotational, then show that the vector $\vec{A} \times \vec{B}$ is solenoidal.

Answer :- A vector \vec{a} is said to be solenoidal, if $\text{div } \vec{a} = 0$, i.e., $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{a} = 0$. Since \vec{A} and \vec{B} are irrotational, so

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} = \vec{0} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B}. \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Again } \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\vec{A} \times \vec{B}) = \vec{B} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) - \vec{A} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B}) = \vec{B} \cdot \vec{0} - \vec{A} \cdot \vec{0} = 0.$$

Hence $\vec{A} \times \vec{B}$ is solenoidal vector.

Question :- If \vec{a} and \vec{b} are constant vectors and $\vec{r} = x\hat{i} + y\hat{j} + z\hat{k}$, then prove that $(\vec{a} \times \vec{b}) \times \vec{r}$ is solenoidal.

Answer :- Let $\vec{p} = (\vec{a} \times \vec{b}) \times \vec{r} = -\vec{r} \times (\vec{a} \times \vec{b}) = (\vec{r} \cdot \vec{a})\vec{b} - (\vec{r} \cdot \vec{b})\vec{a}$. Now $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{p} = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \{(\vec{r} \cdot \vec{a})\vec{b} - (\vec{r} \cdot \vec{b})\vec{a}\} = (\vec{r} \cdot \vec{a})(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{b}) - (\vec{r} \cdot \vec{b})(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{a}) = 0$. [$\because \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{a} = 0 = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{b}$, as \vec{a} and \vec{b} are constant vectors]

Hence $\vec{p} = (\vec{a} \times \vec{b}) \times \vec{r}$ is solenoidal vector.

Question :- If \vec{a} and \vec{b} are constant vectors, prove that $\vec{\nabla} \times \{(\vec{r} \times \vec{a}) \times \vec{b}\} = \vec{b} \times \vec{a}$, where $\vec{r} = x\hat{i} + y\hat{j} + z\hat{k}$.

Answer :- Here

$$\begin{aligned} (\vec{r} \times \vec{a}) \times \vec{b} &= -\left\{ \vec{b} \times (\vec{r} \times \vec{a}) \right\} \\ &= -\left\{ (\vec{b} \cdot \vec{a})\vec{r} - (\vec{b} \cdot \vec{r})\vec{a} \right\} = (\vec{r} \cdot \vec{b})\vec{a} - (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b})\vec{r}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\therefore \vec{\nabla} \times \{(\vec{r} \times \vec{a}) \times \vec{b}\} &= \vec{\nabla} \times \{(\vec{r} \cdot \vec{b}) \vec{a} - (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}) \vec{r}\} \\
&= \vec{\nabla} \times \{(\vec{r} \cdot \vec{b}) \vec{a}\} - \vec{\nabla} \times \{(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}) \vec{r}\} = (\vec{r} \cdot \vec{b}) (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{a}) \\
&\quad + \vec{\nabla} (\vec{r} \cdot \vec{b}) \times \vec{a} - (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}) (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{r}) + \vec{\nabla} (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}) \times \vec{r}. \quad (1)
\end{aligned}$$

Since \vec{a} and \vec{b} are constant vectors and $\vec{r} = x \hat{i} + y \hat{j} + z \hat{k}$, so $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{a} = \vec{0}$, $\vec{\nabla} (\vec{r} \cdot \vec{b}) = \vec{b}$, $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{r} = \vec{0}$ and $\vec{\nabla} (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}) = \vec{0}$.

Putting these values in (1), we get

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \{(\vec{r} \times \vec{a}) \times \vec{b}\} = \vec{b} \times \vec{a}. \text{ (Proved)}$$

Question :- If \vec{A} be a solenoidal vector, then show that $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} = \nabla^4 \vec{A}$, i.e., curl curl curl curl $\vec{A} = \nabla^4 \vec{A}$.

Answer :- We know that

$$\text{curl curl } \vec{A} = \vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) = \vec{\nabla} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A}) - \vec{\nabla}^2 \vec{A}. \quad (1)$$

Since \vec{A} be a solenoidal vector, so

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A} = 0. \quad (2)$$

$$\therefore \text{curl curl } \vec{A} = -\vec{\nabla}^2 \vec{A} = \vec{H}, \text{ (say) [by (2)]}.$$

$$\text{Again } \text{curl curl } \vec{H} = \vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{H}) = \vec{\nabla} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{H}) - \vec{\nabla}^2 \vec{H}.$$

$$\text{But } \vec{\nabla} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{H}) = -\vec{\nabla} (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\nabla}^2 \vec{A}) = -\vec{\nabla} (\vec{\nabla}^2 \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A}) = \vec{0}, \text{ [by (2)]}.$$

$$\therefore \text{curl curl } \vec{H} = -\vec{\nabla}^2 \vec{H} = -\vec{\nabla}^2 (-\vec{\nabla}^2 \vec{A}) = \vec{\nabla}^4 \vec{A}.$$

$$\text{Hence } \text{curl curl curl curl } \vec{A} = \nabla^4 \vec{A}. \text{ (Proved)}$$

Question :- If $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{D} = \rho$, $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{H} = 0$, $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{D} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{H}}{\partial t}$ and $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{H} = \frac{1}{c} \left(\frac{\partial \vec{D}}{\partial t} + \rho \vec{v} \right)$, then show that $\vec{\nabla}^2 \vec{D} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{D}}{\partial t^2} = \vec{\nabla} \rho + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho \vec{v})$ and $\vec{\nabla}^2 \vec{H} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{H}}{\partial t^2} = -\frac{1}{c} \vec{\nabla} \times (\rho \vec{v})$, where c is a constant and t is the time variable.

Answer :- It is given that

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{D} = \rho, \quad (1)$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{H} = 0, \quad (2)$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{D} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{H}}{\partial t} \quad (3)$$

and
$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{H} = \frac{1}{c} \left(\frac{\partial \vec{D}}{\partial t} + \rho \vec{v} \right). \quad (4)$$

(4) can be written as

$$\rho \vec{v} = c \left(\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{H} \right) - \frac{\partial \vec{D}}{\partial t}. \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho \vec{v}) &= c \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{H} \right) - \frac{\partial^2 \vec{D}}{\partial t^2} = c \left(\vec{\nabla} \times \frac{\partial \vec{H}}{\partial t} \right) - \frac{\partial^2 \vec{D}}{\partial t^2} \\ &= -c^2 \left\{ \vec{\nabla} \times \left(\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{D} \right) \right\} - \frac{\partial^2 \vec{D}}{\partial t^2} \quad [\text{by (3)}] \\ &= -c^2 \left\{ \vec{\nabla} \left(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{D} \right) - \vec{\nabla}^2 \vec{D} \right\} - \frac{\partial^2 \vec{D}}{\partial t^2} \\ &= -c^2 \left\{ \vec{\nabla} \rho - \vec{\nabla}^2 \vec{D} \right\} - \frac{\partial^2 \vec{D}}{\partial t^2} \quad [\text{by (1)}] \\ \text{or, } \vec{\nabla}^2 \vec{D} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{D}}{\partial t^2} &= \vec{\nabla} \rho + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho \vec{v}). \quad (\text{Proved}) \end{aligned}$$

Taking cross product both sides of (5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\nabla} \times (\rho \vec{v}) &= c \vec{\nabla} \times \left(\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{H} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{D} \right) \\ &= c \left\{ \vec{\nabla} \left(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{H} \right) - \vec{\nabla}^2 \vec{H} \right\} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{H}}{\partial t^2} \quad [\text{by (3)}] \\ &= -c \vec{\nabla}^2 \vec{H} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{H}}{\partial t^2} \quad [\text{by (2)}] \\ \text{or, } \vec{\nabla}^2 \vec{H} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{H}}{\partial t^2} &= -\frac{1}{c} \vec{\nabla} \times (\rho \vec{v}). \quad (\text{Proved}) \end{aligned}$$

EXERCISE

1. If $\phi = x^3 + y^3 + z^3$, find the directional derivative of ϕ at the point $(1, -1, 2)$ in the direction of the vector $\hat{j} + \hat{k}$.
2. Prove that $\vec{\nabla} \cdot (r^3 \vec{r}) = 6r^3$, where $\vec{r} = x\hat{i} + y\hat{j} + z\hat{k}$ and $r = |\vec{r}|$.
3. If $\vec{r} = x\hat{i} + y\hat{j} + z\hat{k}$ and \vec{c} is a constant vector, prove that $\text{curl}\{(\vec{c} \cdot \vec{r}) \vec{c}\} = \vec{0}$.
4. If f and g are both differentiable scalar functions of x, y and z , then prove that $\vec{\nabla} \cdot (f \vec{\nabla} g - g \vec{\nabla} f) = f \nabla^2 g - g \nabla^2 f$.
5. In what direction from the point $(1, 3, 2)$ is the directional derivative of $f = 2xz - y^2$ a maximum? What is the magnitude of this maximum?
6. Find the directional derivative of the function $\psi = x^2 y^3 + y^2 z^3 + z^2 x^3$ along the tangent to the curve $x = t, y = t^2, z = t^3$ at the point $(1, 1, 1)$.
7. If $\vec{r} = x\hat{i} + y\hat{j} + z\hat{k}$, $r = |\vec{r}|$ and $\psi(x, y, z) = (x^2 + y^2 + z^2) e^{-\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}}$, prove that $\vec{\nabla} \psi = (2 - r)e^{-r} \vec{r}$ and hence show that $\vec{\nabla}^2 \psi = (r^2 - 6r + 6)e^{-r}$.
8. If $\vec{V} = \sin y \hat{i} + \sin x \hat{j} + e^z \hat{k}$, then show that \vec{V} is neither solenoidal nor irrotational.
9. If $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{f} = \vec{0}$, then prove that $\alpha = 0$ or $\beta = -1$, where $\vec{f} = (xyz)^\alpha (x^\beta \hat{i} + y^\beta \hat{j} + z^\beta \hat{k})$.
10. Find the constants a, b and c such that the vector $\vec{A} = (x + ay + 4z) \hat{i} + (2x - 3y + bz) \hat{j} + (cx - y + 2z) \hat{k}$ is irrotational.
11. Show that $\vec{A} = 2xyz^3 \hat{i} + x^2 z^3 \hat{j} + 3x^2 y z^2 \hat{k}$ is irrotational. Find the scalar potential ϕ such that $\vec{A} = \vec{\nabla} \phi$.
12. If ϕ and ψ are differentiable scalar fields, then prove that $\vec{\nabla} \phi \times \vec{\nabla} \psi$ is solenoidal.

ANSWERS

1. $\frac{15}{2}\sqrt{2}$ 5. $4\hat{i} - 6\hat{j} + 2\hat{k}, \sqrt{56}$ 6. $\frac{15}{7}\sqrt{14}$ 10. $a = 2, b = -1, c = 4$
 11. $\phi = x^2 y z^3$