created human being, a giant adult male in shape, who must swiftly recapitulate, and without the assistance of his terrified parent, the infantile and adolescent stages of human development. She even faces squarely the monster's sexual needs, for the denouement of the story hangs on his demand that Frankenstein create a female monster partner, and Frankenstein's refusal to do so.

But more than mundane is Mary Shelley's concern with the emotions surrounding the parent-child and child-parent relationship. Here her intention to underline the birth myth in Frankenstein becomes most evident, quite apart from biographical evidence about its author. She provides an unusual thickening of the background of the tale with familial fact and fantasy, from the very opening of the story in the letters a brother addresses to his sister of whom he is excessively fond, because they are both orphans. There is Frankenstein's relationship to his doting parents, and his semi-incestuous love for an abandoned orphan girl brought up as his sister. There is the first of the monster's murder victims, Frankenstein's infant brother (precisely drawn, even to his name, after Mary Shelley's baby); and the innocent young girl wrongly executed for the infant's murder, who is also a victim of what Mary Shelley calls that "strange perversity," a mother's hatred. (Justine aocepts guilt with docility: "I almost began to think that I was the monster that my confessor said I was. . . . ") The abundant material in Frankenstein about the abnormal, or monstrous, manifestations of the childparent tie justifies as much as does its famous monster Mary Shelley's reference to the novel as "my hideous progeny."

What Mary Shelley actually did in Frankenstein was to transform the standard Romantic matter of incest, infanticide, and patricide into a phantasmagoria of the nursery. Nothing quite like it was done again in English literature until that Victorian novel by a woman, which we also place uneasily in the Gothic tradition: Wuthering Heights.

## SANDRA M. GILBERT AND SUSAN GUBAR

## Mary Shelley's Monstrous Evet

The nature of a Female Space is this: it shrinks the Organs Of Life till they become Finite & Itself seems Infinite And Satan vibrated in the immensity of the Space! Limited To those without but Infinite to those within .

-William Blake

The woman writes as if the Devil was in her, and that is the only condition r which a woman ever writes anything worth reading.

-Nathaniel Hawthorne, on Fanny Fern

I probed Retrieveless things My Duplicate - to borrow -A Haggard Comfort springs

From the belief that Somewhere-Within the Clutch of Thought -There dwells one other Creature Of Heavenly Love - forgot -

I plucked at our Partition As One should pry the Walls -Between Himself-and Horror's Twin-Within Opposing Cells - t -Emily Dickinson

my critics have noticed that Frankenstein (1818) is one of the key mantic "readings" of Paradise Lost. Significantly, however, as a man's reading it is most especially the story of hell: hell as a dark ody of heaven, hell's creations as monstrous imitations of heaven's tions, and hellish femaleness as a grotesque parody of heavenly eness. But of course the divagations of the parody merely return to reinforce the fearful reality of the original. For by parodying Par-Lost in what may have begun as a secret, barely conscious attempt subvert Milton, Shelley ended up telling, too, the central story of

tom The Madwoman in the Attic (New Haven: Yale UP, 1979) 213, 221-27, 230-41. Originally published in Feminist Studies. Reprinted with permission.

printed by permission of the publishen and the Trustees of Amhent College from The two of Emily Dicktraon, Thomas H. Johnson, ed., Cambridge, Mass.: The Belknap Press Harvard University Press, Copyright © 1951, 1955, 1979, 1983 by the President and Pellows Harvard College.

Lipida (College).

The Atterword (College).

The President and Pellows (Parameterstein (New York and Torunto: New merican Library, 1965), p. 214.